UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/519,534 08/04/2005 | | David Brown | 178-312 PCT/US | 2532 |
| Danield Danie | 7590 12/19/2007 | | EXAMINER | |
| Ronald Baron Hoffmann & Baron, LLP | | | HUANG, GIGI GEORGIANA | |
| 6900 Jericho Turnpike Syosset, NY 11791 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1618 | |
| • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/19/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/519,534 | BROWN ET AL. | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | GiGi Huang | 1618 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | |
| . ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of | lailing or Transmission dated |), which is after the expiration of the | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 5). | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory position (PTOL-85). | s received on (with a Certificate in the issue fee (are fee (are fee)) | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated nd publication fee) set in the Notice of | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| . ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| 5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | | |
| 7. ⊠ The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| A phone call was place to verify abandonment. There has been no response. See interview summary. | | | | | | |
| • | y | A | | | | |
| | MICI SUPERVIS | HAEL G. HARTLEY ORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20071209